



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,306	02/14/2001	Uwe Wenzel	51202	2453
26474 7	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			KHARE, DEVESH	
	N, DC 20036	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1623	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	09/782,306	WENZEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devesh Khare	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute. cause the application to become AF	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner .		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) =		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been	oplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
	,		
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview So	ummary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1623

The request for RCE filed on 08/06/2004, is acknowledged.

The examiner withdraws the prior art references Bezuidenhoudt et al. and Ramabhimaiah et al. applied in the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of the Final Office action dated 11/04/2003 in response to applicant's remarks that neither Nair et al. nor Yoshikumi et al. suggest the compounds of the formula I or II of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-4 are before the examiner and an action on the merits of said claims is contained herein below.

## Minor objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In claim 1, line 8, the phrase "or" should be replaced by phrase "of".
- (2) The phrases "Hydrogen", "Hydroxy", "Phenyl" and "Methoxy" in all occurrences should be replaced by the phrases "hydrogen", "hydroxyl", "phenyl" and "methoxy".
- (3) In claim 1, line 12, should refer to "phenyl-ring optionally substituted".
- (4) In claim 2, the term "NFxB" should be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

## 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,306

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

(A) In claim 1, in the absence of the name of a sugar substituent claimed, render the

claim indefinite wherein applicant fails to articulate by chemical name or structural

formula, requisite to identifying the active substituent of a compound claimed.

(B) Claim 3, is vague and indefinite for stating "inhibiting COX-2 biosynthesis or COX-2

biosynthesis and NFxB biosynthesis in a patient" as it is unclear what is the therapeutic

effect or how is inhibition of COX-2 biosynthesis or COX-2 biosynthesis and NFxB

biosynthesis related to affecting any specific conditions in a patient.

Claims which depend from an indefinite claim which fail to obviate the indefiniteness of

the claim from which they depend are also seen to be indefinite and are also rejected

for the reasons set forth supra.

2. A review of the prior art revealed no references that could be appropriately applied on

claims 1-4 directed to a composition for inhibiting COX-2 biosynthesis or COX-2

biosynthesis and NFxB biosynthesis comprising a therapeutically effective amount of

the compound of formula I or II.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is 571-272-

0653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,306

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at 571-272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,JD. Art Unit 1623 December 23,2004

JAMES O. WILSON

UPT)RVISORY PATENT EXAMINEN